

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/672,434 | 09/29/2003 | Akihiro Koga | 243396US2SRD | 8505 | |
| 22850 7590 ORI ON SPIVAK | 01/25/2007 MCCLELLAND, MA | EXA | EXAMINER | | |
| 1940 DUKE STRE | | JERABEK, KELLY L | | | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | . 2622 | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE DELIVE | | | ERY MODE | | |
| 21 DAVS 01/25/2007 | | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Applicati | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/672,4 | 34 | KOGA ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examine | r | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Kelly L. Jo | | 2622 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply | n appears on th | e cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ddress | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Ci SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication be period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory propered to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF TI FR 1.136(a). In no ev on. eriod will apply and w statute, cause the app | HIS COMMUNICATION rent, however, may a reply be tim rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE | N. hely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | , | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| · | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · | | | | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-7 are subject to restriction and | or election requ | uirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Exa | miner. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| ,- | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | | | | | |
| | r No(s)/Mail Date | | 6) Other: | a.a.r. ppiloution | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

First Species: figures 1-4

Second Species: figures 5-6

Third Species: figure 7

Fourth Species: figure 8

Fifth Species: figure 9

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently there are no generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

Application/Control Number: 10/672,434

Art Unit: 2622

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly L. Jerabek whose telephone number is (571) 272-7312. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for submitting all Official communications is (703) 872-9306. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/672,434 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

number for submitting <u>informal communications</u> such as drafts, proposed amendments, etc., may be faxed directly to the Examiner at **(571) 273-7312**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KL.I

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600